## FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET (Revised Nov. 2006)

Fax/Electronic Ma	ail Transmittal
Date:	
Name:	
F N 1	
Fax Number:	
X 60 Days after session	Other
First Year	Second Year
\$0	\$0
•	
\$0	\$0
1.1	
\$0	\$0
Ψ	Ψ
	lain. Also, indicate any
	Date: Name: Fax Number:  X 60 Days after session  DSED LEGISLATION First Year

Randy Raphael Statistician 538-7802 February 14, 2007

# E. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase

None

#### **F.** Expenditure Impact Details (*Ties to totals in Section C*)

List and document methodology and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.

List number, type, and step ranges of personnel required, including benefits.

List details of other impacted expenditure categories as shown in Section C.

List additional space requirements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.

(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

Not applicable.

#### G. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?

Specify why this bill will have no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.

Specify how you will reallocate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations. (USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

Notwithstanding the inclusion of "the State Board of Education and its employees," this bill only affects local education agencies. As a state government agency, the Board does not receive requests from teacher associations for membership drives or engage in collective bargaining.

### H. If Bill Carries It's Own Appropriation:

Indicate if the amount appropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill. Are there future additional costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?

None.

#### I. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals

Local School Districts/Charter Schools: Many districts already have policies that allow the district to negotiate only with that union which has the majority of members or which teachers by majority vote choose to be their representative union. So, this substitute undoes the intent of the original by restoring and formalizing the status quo, but since it also retains language from the original, it seems to contradict itself: on the one hand, "it is unlawful for a school to establish policies that favor one teacher association over another or implicitly endorse any teacher association" (lines 60-63 as in the original); on the other, "a school district may recognize and bargain with an exclusive bargaining agency recognized by school district policy and provide for exclusive bargaining agent participation in all of the school's policy committees" (lines 64-70). What therefore is the point?

<u>Businesses and Associations</u>: Teacher unions with relatively smaller market shares will be guaranteed increased marketing opportunities, though not necessarily increased business. <u>Individuals</u>: None.

Narrative Description of Bill: This bill requires LEAs to allow teacher associations equal access to the following activities at times established by each school: distribution of information to teachers' physical or electronic mailboxes; and membership solicitation at new teacher orientation; prohibits LEAs from: establishing or maintaining procedures that favor one teacher association over another; or explicitly or implicitly endorsing a teacher association; permits a school district to bargain with a single teacher association based on objective criteria established by district policy; permits a school district to allow a single teacher association to participate in some or all policy or advisory committees; and prohibits a negotiated agreement between a school district and an single teacher.